

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Examiner's Advisory Office Action of April 25, 2006 the Applicant respectfully submits the accompanying Request for Continued Examination, Amendment of the claims and the below Remarks.

Regarding Amendment

In the Amendment:

independent claims 1 and 6 are amended to clarify that it is the removable inkjet cartridge which has the printhead, not the claimed body of the cradle, and that the claimed contact of the terminals of the cradle and corresponding terminals of the cartridge upon insertion of the cartridge facilitates communication between the cradle and printhead. Support for this amendment can be found, for example, at page 5, lines 18-28, page 7, lines 12-17 and page 11, lines 23-page 12, line 26 of the present specification; and dependent claims 2-5 are unchanged.

It is respectfully submitted that the Amendments to not add any new matter to the present application.

Regarding Upheld Rejections

It is respectfully submitted that the subject matter of amended independent claim 1, and claims 2-5 dependent therefrom, is not disclosed by previously cited Silverbrook, for at least the following reasons.

In the Advisory Office Action, the Examiner asserts that the pending claims do not recite an ink supply having integrated ejection heads on an inkjet cartridge and that the Applicant discloses "an ink supply for supplying ink to the printhead" and therefore maintains the contention that Kakutani discloses the features of the claimed invention.

Whilst the Applicant respectfully disagrees, independent claims 1 and 6 have been amended as discussed above to clearly recite that the inkjet cartridge has both the printhead and the ink supply.

That is, it is clarified that in the claimed invention, the removable inkjet cartridge 6, which the inkjet printer cradle 4 removably receives, has the pagewidth printhead 52 and the ink supply 28-34, not the cradle and that accordingly, the power and data interface 58 is arranged to allow communication between the cradle and printhead when the cartridge is received by the cradle (see page 5, lines 18-28, page 7, lines 12-28 and page 11, lines 23-page 12, line 26 of the present specification).

Thus, it is respectfully submitted that for at least the reasons discussed by the Applicant in the Reply to the final Office Action, the subject matter of amended independent claims 1 and 6, and dependent claims 2-5, is not taught or suggested by Silverbrook, Kakutani or Silverbrook et al. either taken alone or in combination with one another.

It is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's rejections have been traversed. Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicant:



KIA SILVERBROOK

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd
393 Darling Street
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com
Telephone: +612 9818 6633
Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762